

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

RAYMOND PADILLA,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-0066-KJD-VCF

AMENDED ORDER

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Objections (#17) to the magistrate judge's Order (#13). The Court has conducted a de novo review of the record in this case in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and LR IB 3-2. The magistrate judge's order appropriately preserved Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment claims against individual defendants while dismissing the Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection) claims. While Plaintiff's objections do not change the analysis, it appears that the proposed amended complaint (#18-1) clarifies and corrects some of the deficiencies in his original amended complaint especially relating to Plaintiff's Monell claim and Wrongful Conviction claims. However, that proposed amendment was denied without prejudice by the magistrate judge due to Plaintiff's pending objections.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court determines that the Order (#13) of the United States Magistrate Judge entered November 10, 2020, is **AFFIRMED**;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objections (#17) are **DENIED**;

///

///

///

///

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff has twenty-one (21) days to renew his motion  
2 to file an amended complaint. Upon notice of his intention to renew the motion to amend  
3 originally filed on December 10, 2020, the magistrate judge will screen the amended complaint  
4 and issue an order.

5 DATED this 1st day of September 2021.

6  
7 

8 The Honorable Kent J. Dawson  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28